

LACTATION PERFORMANCE OF RETAINED AND CULLED FRIESIAN COWS IN COMMERCIAL FARMS IN EGYPT

R.R. Sadek

Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture,
University of Cairo, Giza, Egypt

SUMMARY

A total number of 1775 milk records collected on 816 imported Friesian cows in two commercial dairy farms located at Tamia district, El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt. The records were used to study the performance of retained cows, which stayed in the herd to produce the next record(s), and those which were culled after completing that particular lactation.

For each lactation, records were classified into two groups: group A (retained cows for at least one further lactation) and group B (culled cows with no subsequent lactations).

The least squares means of actual total milk yield (TMY), lactation length (LL) and daily milk yield (DMY) for the two groups and the differences ($D = X_B - X_A$) in each lactation were studied.

The results showed that animals of group B had higher TMY in the first two lactations. The D values were +705 kg, ($P < 0.02$) and +265 kg, ($P < 0.20$), respectively. The superiority of group B in TMY was due to both the highly significant ($P < 0.005$) longer lactation period (+61 days) and higher DMY ($D = +0.3$ kg, $P < 0.63$) in the first lactation. In the second lactation, the high milk yield of group B was due to only the highly significant ($P < 0.017$) longer LL (+34 days). However, in the third lactation, the D values were not significant for all traits studied.

Keywords: Lactation performance, culling, Friesian, commercial farms, Egypt

INTRODUCTION

The introducing of standard dairy breeds, mainly Friesian, into developing countries is one of the tools to fill the gap in milk production and to face the increasing demand on it. The full expression of such breeds under adverse environments depends on improving feeding standards, management practices and veterinary services. Dairy animals of higher milk yield were found to be rejected earlier, and consequently had shorter longevity compared to those of lower production (Gill and Allaire, 1976, Mostageer *et al.*, 1987, Nigm *et al.*, 1988 and Aboul-Ela *et al.*, 1994). The objective of this study was to compare milk performance of imported Friesian cows, staying in the farm to produce the next record(s), with those discarded after completing that particular lactation under the conditions of commercial dairy farms in Egypt.

DATA AND ANALYSIS

The data utilized in this study comprised a total number of 1775 milk records of 816 imported Friesian cows. Milk records were collected for the first three lactations from two commercial dairy farms during the period from 1981 to 1986. The two farms are located at Tamia district, El-Fayoum Governorate, Egypt. All cows were imported from the Netherlands as pregnant heifers.

The detailed description of these data has been published by Sadek *et al.* (1994). In the present study, each record in each parity was classified according to: farm, year of calving, season of calving and according to whether or not it was followed by another record or parturition. Thus, in this last classification the records were assigned to one of the following two groups: A: records followed by at least one lactation, B: those with no subsequent records.

Means of TMY, LL and DMY were obtained by the Least Squares technique using the General Linear Models procedure of SAS (1985) for each lactation separately. The statistical model used in the analysis was as follows:

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + H_i + F_j + Y_k + S_l + (HF)_{ij} + e_{ijklm}, \text{ where}$$

Y_{ijklm} = the m th observation in i th longevity class, j th farm, k th year of calving and l th season of calving,

μ = the overall mean,

H_i = the effect of i th groups, group A: animals with subsequent record(s) and group B: animals with no subsequent records.

F_j = the effect of j th farm, ($j=1,2$); 1= El-Tubgy farm and 2= Eskander farm.

Y_k = the effect of k th year of calving; ($k= 1981, 1982, \dots, 1986$)

S_l = the effect of season of calving, ($l=1,2,3,4$); 1=winter (Dec.-Feb.), 2=spring (March-May), 3=summer (June-August) and 4=Autumn (Sept.-Nov.),

$(HF)_{ij}$ = the effect of interaction between group and farm.

e_{ijklm} = the error term.

Least squares means of the two groups and the differences between them, for TMY, LL and DMY in the first three lactations studied, are tabulated and discussed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table (1) shows the number of milk records used in each parity distributed between the two groups, group A: has subsequent record(s) and group B: has no subsequent records. The percentage of cows retained to give the second lactation (96%) in the present study is almost similar to that found by Nigm *et al.* (1988, 95%) on Brown Swiss cows in Egypt. However, Mostageer *et al.* (1987) reported lower value of 85.5% on Friesian cows in Libya. It seems that commercial dairy farms keep the expensive imported Friesian cows to increase the number of progeny obtained from them regardless of their productive or reproductive performances. So, little or no artificial selection is actually practiced on cows for high milk yield at the end of the first lactation. Relatively higher culling rates were observed at the end of the second (about 14%) and third lactations (about 11%).

Table 1. Number (N) and percentage⁽¹⁾ of records in retained (A) and culled (B) groups⁽²⁾ in different lactations

	Lactation		
	1	2	3
NA	784 (96.1)	501 (86.1)	337 (89.4)
NB	32 (3.9)	81 (13.9)	40 (10.6)
Total	861 (100)	582 (100)	377 (100)

(1) percentages are between parenthesis.

(2) group A: retained cows which have subsequent record(s).

group B: culled cows which have no subsequent records.

The least squares means of actual TMY, LL and DMY in the two groups of the three lactations and the differences ($D = X_B - X_A$) between the relevant means of each trait are presented in Table (2).

The average first lactation TMY of culled animals (group B) was significantly ($P < 0.02$) higher than the corresponding mean of the retained cows (group A). The difference (+705) represents about 17% of the production of group A and was due to the combined effects of the highly significant ($P < 0.005$) longer lactation period (+61 days) and the higher daily milk yield ($D/\text{day} = +0.3$ kg, $P < 0.63$) in favour of group B.

In the second lactation, animals in group B had higher TMY than those in group A but the difference (+265 kg) was not significant ($P < 0.20$). The positive D value in TMY was attributed to the significant ($P < 0.017$) longer LL (+34 days). However, in the third lactation the D values were negative and not significant for TMY and LL which may be due to practicing selection after the end of the third lactation. Also, some cows which have been retained in the herd for such period became more regular calvers. The results obtained here agree with the

findings of Mostageer *et al.* (1987) on Friesian and Jersey cows in Libya, Nigm *et al.* (1988) on Brown Swiss in Egypt and Aboul-Ela *et al.* (1994) on Friesian cows in the United Arab Emirates who reported that after each lactation, a group with higher milk yield is rejected in the new environment.

Table 2. Least squares means of total milk yield, lactation length and daily milk yield of the retained (A) and culled (B) groups⁽¹⁾ in different lactations

	Group A $\bar{X} \pm SE$	Group B $\bar{X} \pm SE$	$D = (\bar{X}_B - \bar{X}_A) \pm SE$	P>F
Total milk yield (kg)				
1st lactation	4160a±112	4865b±304	+ 705±324	0.02
2nd lactation	4400 ±131	4665 ±200	+ 265±239	0.20
3rd lactation	5030 ±110	4780 ±212	- 250±238	0.251
Lactation length (day)				
1st lactation	361a±7.9	422b±21.6	+ 61±22.9	0.005
2nd lactation	335a±8.9	369b±13.7	+ 34±16.3	0.017
3rd lactation	348 ±7.1	341 ±13.7	- 5±15.4	0.734
Daily milk yield (kg)				
1st lactation	11.9±0.27	12.2±0.72	+0.30±0.79	0.63
2nd lactation	13.3±0.28	13.1±0.43	-0.20±0.51	0.534
3rd lactation	14.8±0.27	14.8±0.53	0.00	0.991

(1) Group A: retained cows which have subsequent record(s).

Group B: culled cows which have no subsequent records.

In the present study, the longer lactation period in group B seems to be a result of delayed conception, where, animals with higher milk production had longer days open compared to lower producing cows, and fail to conceive in a reasonable interval after calving and consequently are rejected.

Reproductive problems have been reported as a major reason for culling in foreign herds (Ragab & Asker, 1959; Fahmy *et al.*, 1963 and Aboul-Ela *et al.*, 1994) Association between high milk yield and low reproductive performance has been reported by several investigators (Everett *et al.*, 1966; Spadling *et al.*, 1975; El-Keraby

and Aboul-Ela, 1982; Nigm *et al.*, 1988, Khattab and Ashmawy 1988, Ray *et al.*, 1992 and Aboul-Ela *et al.*, 1994)

It could be concluded that, the low reproductive management of the imported dairy breeds, may confuse milk production improvement programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is deeply grateful to Mr. S. EL-Tubgy, owner of El-Tubgy farm and Mr. S. Eskander, owner of Eskander farm for their help in data collection.

REFERENCES

- Aboul-Ela, M.B., A.A. Nigm and R.R. Sadek, 1994. Culling of imported and locally born Friesian cows in dairy herds in the United Arab Emirates. *Tropical Anim. Prod.* (Under publication).
- El-Keraby, F.E. and M.B. Aboul-Ela, 1982. A study of some non-genetic factors affecting postpartum reproductive performance in Friesian cows. *Tropical Anim. Prod.*, 7:307-314.
- Everett, R.W., D.V. Armstrong and L.J. Boyd, 1966. Genetic relationship between production and breeding efficiency. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 49:879-886.
- Fahmy, S.K., M.S. Barrada and A.A. El-Itriby, 1963. Productive and reproductive characteristics of purebred Friesian cattle in the Delta region. *Proc. 2nd Anim. Conf.*, Cairo, 3-10 March 1963.
- Gill, G.S. and F.R. Allaire, 1976. Relationship of first lactation performance to lifetime production and economic efficiency. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 59:1319-1324.
- Khattab, A.S. and A.A. Ashmawy, 1988. Relationships of days open and days dry with milk production in Friesian cattle in Egypt. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 105:300-305.
- Mostageer, A., M.A. Morsy, A.A. Nigm and R.R. Sadek, 1987. The performance of some European cattle breeds in adverse environments. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.*, 104:206-212.

- Nigm, A.A., R.R. Sadek and N.S. Rashad, 1988. Culling and lactation performance in Brown Swiss and crossbred cattle in Egypt. Ruminant production in the dry subtropics: Constraints and potentials. Pudoc Wageningen, the Netherlands. pp. 133-140.
- Ragab, M.T. and A.A. Asker, 1959. Some economic characteristics of the Friesian cattle in the Tahreer Province. Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ. Cairo 4:107-114.
- Ray, D.E., T.J. Halbach and D.V. Armstrong, 1992. Season and lactation number effects on milk production and reproduction of dairy cattle in Arizona. J. Dairy Sci., 75:2976-2983.
- Sadek, R.R., E.A. Helali, M.A. Safwat, S.A.M. Ibrahim and A. Abd El-Fatah, 1994. Evaluation of Friesian cattle performance in commercial farms in Egypt. Egypt. J. Anim. Prod. 31(1):43-64.
- SAS User Guide: Statistics, Version 5 Edition, 1985. Inst., Inc. Cary, NC.
- Spadling, R.W., R.W. Everett and R.H. Foote, 1975. Fertility in New York artificially inseminated Holstein breeds in DHI. J. Dairy Sci., 58:718-723.

أداء إنتاج اللبن لأبقار الفريزيان المستبقاه والمستبعدة بمزارع القطاع
الخاص فى مصر

ربيع رجب صادق

كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة - قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى.

أجرى هذا البحث على ١٧٧٥ سجلا لإنتاج اللبن تمثل أول ثلاثة مواسم
لعدد ٨١٦ من أبقار الفريزيان المستوردة من هولندا والمرباة بمزرعتين
تابعيتين للقطاع الخاص بمركز طامية، محافظة الفيوم.
وقد استهدف البحث مقارنة صفات: إنتاج اللبن، طول موسم الحليب
 وإنتاج اللبن اليومي (لكل موسم حليب) بين مجموعتين من الأبقار:
المجموعة الأولى: وهى التى تستبقى فى القطيع بعد نهاية موسم الحليب
(أى سجلاتها متبوعة بموسم حليب آخر على الأقل).
المجموعة الثانية: وهى التى تستبعد من القطيع بعد نهاية الموسم
(أى سجلاتها غير متبوعة بأى مواسم حليب تالية)
وقد تم حساب متوسطات المجموعتين وكذا الفرق بينهما للثلاث صفات
سابقة الذكر، وأظهرت النتائج الآتى:
(١) كان للمجموعة الثانية - مقارنة بالمجموعة الأولى - متوسطات أعلى
لإنتاج اللبن الكلى وطول موسم الحليب خلال موسمي الحليب الأول والثانى،
وكان الفرق معنويا فى إنتاج لبن الموسم الأول (+٧٠٥ كجم) وطول فترة
حليب الموسمين الأول والثانى (+٦١ يوم، +٣٤ يوم، على التوالي).
(٢) أظهرت أيضا المجموعة الثانية متوسطا أعلى لصفة إنتاج اللبن اليومي
ولكن خلال الموسم الأول فقط وبفرق غير معنوى.
(٣) تبين من الدراسة أن الحيوانات المستوردة التى تدر إنتاجا أعلى عن الحد
الذى تسمح به البيئة الجديدة (حيوانات المجموعة الثانية) قد تستبعد مبكرا
عن تلك الحيوانات ذات الإنتاجية الأقل.
(٤) يستنتج من الدراسة أن قلة الإهتمام بجميع نواحي الرعاية التناسلية
والصحية ومستويات التغذية لماشية اللبن الأصيلة المستوردة والمرباه تحت
الظروف المصرية يعرقل برامج تحسين إنتاج اللبن.