

THE EFFECT OF BREEDS AND FEED RESTRICTION LEVEL ON SERUM BIOCHEMICAL RESPONSE OF RABBITS

S.M.A. Sallam¹, S. Ahmed², Omnia Essa² and T. Rabie²

1- Department of Animal and Fish Production, Faculty of Agriculture, (El-Shatby) Alexandria University, 2- Department of Animal Production and Fish Resources, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, 41522, Ismailia, Egypt

Received: 15/9/2019

Accepted: 6/11/2019

SUMMARY

The aim of this study was to estimate the rabbit' breed effect (Red Baladi (RB), and New Zealand White (NZW) breeds), fed ad libitum for the first 11 weeks of age then were shifted to the restriction feed for two weeks starting at 11th and 12nd weeks of age, till 15th week of age on body weight gain, mortality rate, and serum biochemical phenotypes. Rabbits were allocated into three groups; control, 60%, and 80% from ad libitum feeding to the restricted feed trial implement. Growth performance traits and serum biochemical indices were measured weekly.

During the feed restricted period, the body weight gain was negatively affected in restriction feed groups compared to the control group. However, the average weekly weight gain and feed intake or the feed conversion ratio (FCR) were affected ($P \leq 0.05$) by either feed restriction or breed over the entire experimental period. Mortality rate (%) was entirely zero for all studied groups. Although the feed restriction had reduced ($P \leq 0.05$) the total protein (g/dl), triglycerides, (mg/dl), LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) and ALT (U/L), AST (U/L) enzymes, but ALP (U/L) and total cholesterol (mg/dl) did not affect after two weeks of feeding. In conclusion, restriction feeding for growing rabbits starting from 11th weeks of age for two weeks is recommended to improve the serum biochemical indices and therefore the growth performance.

Keywords: feed restriction, growth performance and serum biochemical

INTRODUCTION

Rabbit meat is an important source of animal protein in Egypt, it provides source of protein while featuring low fat cholesterol content and high quality biological value (Aduku and Olukosi, 1990). Thus, if selected for high feed intake and growth rate, rabbits will provide delicacy and healthy food (Dallezotte, 2000) at reasonable price. Therefore, growing rabbits are usually fed *ad libitum* to achieve the highest performance of growth rate (Maertens, 2010). However, under certain circumvent areas, feed restriction is used post-weaning to avoid high daily changes in feed intake and the associated digestive disorders (Gidenne *et al.*, 2012; Maertens and Gidenne, 2016) that lead to animal losses. Nevertheless, feed restriction is used also at different intervals at ages of the rabbit life at different levels (Di Meo *et al.*, 2007). Though, feed restriction reducing the growth during the restriction interval, but the growth reduction can be compensated after feed restriction period (Govaerts *et al.*, 2000), so that the high level of feed restriction has the same effect on final live body weight as lower level (Anderson *et al.*, 2005). Furthermore, a positive effect on feed efficiency of restricted animals commenced growth was reported by Tumová *et al.* (2002); DalleZotte *et al.* (2005) and De Oliveira *et al.* (2012), where the feed conversion rate and digestive process for feed were improved (Tumová *et al.*, 2002; Di Meo *et al.*, 2007 and Abdel-Wareth *et al.*, 2015). Wherever, the compensatory growth depends on the interval and level of restriction (Di Meo *et al.*, 2007; Gidenne *et*

al., 2009a; Romero *et al.*, 2010 and Abdel-Wareth *et al.*, 2015). Feed restriction for a short period of time also changes the nutritional status of system and thus influence blood metabolites concentrations as reported by Renaville *et al.* (2000); Cabaraux *et al.* (2003); Guyton and Hall, (2006). Moreover blood characteristics in rabbits are used for infectious detecting stress conditions, and evaluating the metabolic condition of animals (Mohammadalipour *et al.*, 2017). Biochemical blood parameters are influenced by a lot factors including breed (Martinec *et al.*, 2012), and nutrition (Addass *et al.*, 2012; Etim *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, Van Harten and Cardoso (2010), stated a low concentration of serum biochemical components after feed restriction interval. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to evaluate the effects of levels of feed restriction (0%, 60% and 80% from ad libitum intake) for two weeks on growth performance and serum biochemical parameters in Red Baladi and New Zealand white.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was carried out at the Rabbits Research Farm, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt during the period from September 2017 to February 2018.

Experimental design and rabbit management:

A total of 156 rabbits (78 Red Baladi and 78 New Zealand White) were used in this study. Rabbits were weaned at an age of 28 day and were allocated into

three groups; each of 26 rabbits. Animals were kept individually in galvanized wire batteries equipped with automatic nipple drinkers and separate feeders. Lighting system was sixteen hr light/eight hr dark in the rabbit during experimental period and apparently healthy and free of any external parasites or skin diseases. Pre-experiment was conducted to carry out the amount of *ad libitum* feed to calculate the feed restriction levels upon the total daily feed intake. The feed restriction started at 11th wk for two weeks (*ad libitum* 0%, 60% and 80% from *ad libitum* fed 125g). At the beginning of the 13th week of age, treated groups were fed *ad libitum* continuously till the slaughter at 15th weeks of age. The calculated chemical components of the diet were 18% crude protein, 2.69% fat, 12.39% crude fiber and 2738 kcal digestible energy/kg diet. The rabbits were fed according to NRC requirement (1984).

Performance and Serum biochemical parameters

Growth traits:

Rabbits were individually weighed weekly at fixed day early in the morning before feeding. Then the body weight gain, feed intake (g), FCR (kg feed/kg gain) and mortality rate was recorded.

European Efficiency factors (EEf) were calculated according to Nilipour, (1998):

EEF = (weight gain (g)/age day) × (viability rate %/FCR (kg feed/kg gain)):10.

Serum biochemical parameters:

Three blood samples were withdrawn from the ear vein of individual rabbits during 11th, 12th weeks and at the slaughter (15th week of age). At the end of the experiment (15th week of age), rabbits treatments within breed were individually weighted after 12h fasting slaughtered and bleed for 3-5 minutes,

Serum was separated by centrifugation of the blood samples at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes and stored at -20°C until analysis. Total protein, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and liver enzymes activity (alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and aspartate aminotransferase (ALP) were calorimetrically determined using commercial kits (Reactivos GPL CHEMELEX, S.A Pol. Ind. Can Castells. C / Industria 113, Nau J 08420 Canovelles – Barcelona), as described by Young and Friedman, (2001).

Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed using General Linear Models Procedure of the SPSS 20 program (2011).

A 3x2 factorial design was used. The following model was used to study the effect of main factors and interaction between feed restriction levels (FR) and Breed (B) on parameters investigated as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = u + T_i + B_j + (TB)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

Where:

Y_{ijk} = An observation on mention off analyzed traits
 u = overall mean ; T_i = effect of FR level; $I = (1, 2 \text{ and } 3)$; B_j = effect of breed; $j = (1 \text{ and } 2)$; $(TB)_{ij}$ = effect of

interaction between FR and B ($ij = (1, 2, \dots, 6)$); and e_{ijk} = Experimental error. The Differences means among treatments were subjected to Duncan's Multiple Range- test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Performance:

Weight gain:

The effect of breed and feed restriction levels and their interaction effects on weight gain at the 1st week, 2nd weeks and after feed restriction period are presented in a Table (1). The feed restriction levels had negative effects ($P \leq 0.05$) on weight gain (g) in two weeks of feed restriction, but switched to positive effect ($P \leq 0.05$) after feed restriction period. There was also interaction effect between breed and the levels of feed restriction on weight gain ($P \leq 0.05$). At the beginning of the feed restriction period, the feed intake was reduced and backed to normal *ad libitum* intake to compensate rabbits growth, which is in agreement with Birolo *et al.*, (2017) and Tůmová *et al.* (2018).

Feed efficiency traits:

The feed intake was lower ($P < 0.05$) in the 60% restriction feeding group (FR1) than the *d-libitum* group (FR0) ($P < 0.05$) but the total feed intake of rabbits was not significantly ($P > 0.05$) changed between the two breeds, which may be due to equality total feed intake among treatments. Moreover, FCR (kg feed/kg gain) and (EEf) were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) in the (FR0) than in the (FR1) group ($P < 0.05$), with no breed difference detected ($P < 0.05$) as shown in Table (2). The feed intake was similar for control and feed restricted groups, which might be related to feeding behavior contributes to the results of feed intake (Tumova *et al.*, 2003); Romero *et al.*, 2010; Gidenne *et al.*, 2012). The improvement of FCR might be associated with the morphological and physiological changes in the intestine in the restricted rabbits, which had a longer small intestine (Oliveira *et al.*, 2012) and a longer retention time. In contrary, Gidenne *et al.* (2009b) found that the compensatory growth was not linked with evolved feed conversion in which, where feed conversion was increased in restricted feed groups compared to the *ad libitum* group.

The results revealed that the feed restriction had no significant effect on mortality rate (%) and similar results were reported by Foubert *et al.* (2008); Ebeid *et al.* (2012). While along restriction period for 2 or 3 weeks to decrease mortality rate and digestive problems (Gidenne *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, the duration of feed restriction had no influence on mortality percentage as reported by Gidenne *et al.* (2003); Yakubu *et al.* (2007).

Table 1. Effect of breed, feed restriction and their interaction on weight gain (g) of rabbits at different age out the experiment

Weeks	Breed		FR			Inter. Effects: B*FR					
	RB	NZW	FR0	FR1	FR2	RB*FR0	RB*FR1	RB*FR2	NZW*FR0	NZW*FR1	NZW*FR2
1 st wk (FR)	97.1 ^b ±4.99	105.1 ^a ±5.01	200.1 ^a ±2.79	72.4 ^{ab} ±3.89	111.3 ^b ±3.61	195.3 ^a ±5.82	66.9 ^{ab} ±5.82	80.1 ^b ±5.82	203.2 ^a ±5.79	70.0 ^{ab} ±5.79	105.1 ^b ±5.79
2 nd wk (FR)	61.6 ^b ±3.30	73.8 ^a ±3.30	201.8 ^a ±4.04	-7.92 ^c ±4.10	9.3 ^b ±3.995	201.3 ^a ±5.74	-19.3 ^c ±5.68	2.79 ^b ±5.74	202.2 ^a ±5.71	3.2 ^c ±5.68	15.8 ^b ±5.71
After (FR)	328.8 ^b ±3.96	332.4 ^a ±3.96	200.0 ^c ±4.85	404.6 ^a ±4.90	387.3 ^b ±4.80	201.1 ^c ±6.89	404.1 ^a ±6.82	381.2 ^b ±6.90	198.9 ^c ±6.86	405.1 ^a ±6.82	393.5 ^b ±6.86
Atslaughter	196.6 ^b ±6.03	289.3 ^a ±6.03	229.2 ^{ab} ±7.38	249.9 ^a ±7.31	249.2 ^b ±7.46	170.8 ^{ab} ±10.50	200.4 ^a ±10.39	218.8 ^b ±10.50	287.5 ^b ±10.44	299.3 ^a ±10.39	280.4 ^{ab} ±10.44

First wk (FR): Frist week form feed restriction; 2ndwk (FR): two week form feed restriction RB: Red Baladi Breed; NZW: New Zealand White Breed; FR0: control diet; FR1:60% of the diet; FR2:80% of the Diet; B *FR: Interaction between breed and feed;±: standard error of the mean;a-b Means within the same row with the different superscript letter are significantly different (p >.05).

Table 2. Interaction effects on feed intake (g), feed conversion ratio (kg feed/kg gain) and economic efficiency factors at slaughter age

Traits	Inter. Effects: B*FR					
	RB*FR0	RB*FR1	RB*FR2	NZW*FR0	NZW*FR1	NZW*FR2
TFI	7175 ^a	6895 ^b	6615 ^a	7175 ^a	6895 ^b	6615 ^{ab}
FCR	3.37 ^a	3.07 ^b	2.82 ^{ab}	3.04 ^a	2.82 ^b	2.88 ^{ab}
EEf	5.9 ^{ab}	6.7 ^b	7.3 ^a	7.2 ^{ab}	8.3 ^a	8.1 ^b

B*FR: Interaction between breed and feed; T.F.I: total feed intake (g) from (4) weeks to slaughter; FCR: Feed conversion ratio (kg feed/kg gain) at slaughter; (EEf):Economic Efficiency factor

Serum biochemical parameters:

The values of all serum biochemical characteristics in the current study were within the physiological range as described by Hewitt *et al.* (1989); Archetti *et al.* (2008). The effect of breed, feed restriction levels and their interaction effects on the total protein (g/dl),total cholesterol (mg/dl), triglycerides (mg/dl),LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) and HDL cholesterol (mg/dl), at 1stweek, 2ndweek and after feed restriction are shown in Tables (3 & 4). Breed, feed restriction and their interaction affects (P<0.05) at the 1stweek, 2nd week and after feed restriction reduced serum total protein. Feed restriction had reduced (P≤ 0.05) total protein as stated with Rajman *et al.* (2006); Van Harten and Cardoso (2010); El-Speiy *et al.* (2015), where Archetti *et al.* (2008) and Özkan *et al.*, (2012) explain that the

concentrations of total protein are related to protein metabolism. The total cholesterol (mg/dl) had no effect (P≤ 0.05) after 2nd week by feed restriction, but it had reduced (P≤ 0.05)at slaughter time, which in agreement with Beshara *et al.* (2017).In contrast, El-Speiy *et al.* (2015) showed that total cholesterol concentration increased at the end of the rabbits treated with feed restriction. The triglycerides (mg/dl) was significantly (P≤ 0.05) reduced due to feed restriction, which was in agreement with Van Harten and Cardoso, (2010) and El-Speiy *et al.* (2015). In connection with the feed restriction had positive effect (P≤ 0.05) on LDL and HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) identical to Van Harten and Cardoso, (2010); Fatma and Hayam, (2014) and Darina *et al.* (2018).

Table 3. Effect of breed, feed restriction and their interaction on total protein (g/dl) and total cholesterol (mg/dl)

Traits	Breed		FR			Inter. Effects: B*FR					
	RB	NZW	FR0	FR1	FR2	RB*FR0	RB*FR1	RB*FR2	NZW*FR0	NZW*FR1	NZW*FR2
<i>Total protein(g/dl)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	6.02 ^a ±0.028	5.43 ^b ±0.091	5.69 ^b ±0.058	5.85 ^a ±0.054	5.64 ^{ab} ±0.029	6.01 ^b ±0.04	6.21 ^a ±0.048	5.85 ^{ab} ±0.044	5.36 ^{ab} ±0.052	5.49 ^a ±0.028	5.42 ^b ±0.031
12 th week (FR)	5.77 ^a ±0.046	5.36 ^b ±0.035	5.93 ^a ±0.052	5.32 ^{ab} ±0.034	5.44 ^b ±0.042	6.24 ^a ±0.043	5.51 ^b ±0.042	5.55 ^b ±0.041	5.63 ^a ±0.036	5.13 ^{ab} ±0.072	5.34 ^b ±0.078
Atslaughter	6.16 ^a ±0.042	5.83 ^b ±0.037	6.28 ^a ±0.054	5.87 ^b ±0.039	5.83 ^{ab} ±0.041	6.60 ^a ±0.054	5.96 ^b ±0.034	5.91 ^b ±0.039	5.96 ^a ±0.029	5.77 ^b ±0.021	5.76 ^b ±0.076
<i>Total cholesterol(mg/dl)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	84.13 ^a ±0.258	82.99 ^b ±0.258	82.02 ^{ab} ±0.316	84.67 ^a ±0.313	83.98 ^b ±0.320	82.29 ^a ±0.450	85.96 ^a ±0.445	84.13 ^a ±0.450	81.75 ^a ±0.447	83.44 ^a ±0.445	83.83 ^a ±0.447
12 th week (FR)	83.61 ^a ±0.55	82.20 ^b ±0.55	82.92 ^b ±0.313	82.71 ^a ±0.309	83.09 ^a ±0.316	83.29 ^a ±0.444	84.08 ^a ±0.444	83.46 ^a ±0.440	82.54 ^a ±0.442	81.36 ^a ±0.442	82.74 ^a ±0.440
Atslaughter	83.26 ^a ±0.343	82.35 ^b ±0.343	84.29 ^a ±0.420	82.34 ^b ±0.416	81.79 ^{ab} ±0.425	86.63 ^a ±0.597	83.42 ^b ±0.591	79.75 ^{ab} ±0.594	81.96 ^b ±0.597	81.28 ^{ab} ±0.591	83.96 ^a ±0.594

First wk (FR): First week form feed restriction; 2ndwk (FR): two week form feed restriction; RB: Red Baladi Breed; NZW: New Zealand White Breed; FR0: control diet; FR1:60% of the diet; FR2:80% of the Diet; B *FR: Interaction between breed and feed;±: standard error of the mean; a-b Means within the same row with the different superscript letter are significantly different (p >.05).

Table 4. Effect of breed, feed restriction and their interaction on triglycerides, LDL cholesterol and HDL cholesterol

Traits	Breed		FR			Inter. Effects: B*FR					
	RB	NZW	FR0	FR1	FR2	RB*FR0	RB*FR1	RB*FR2	NZW*FR0	NZW*FR1	NZW*FR2
<i>Triglycerides(mg/dl)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	85.22 ^a ±0.217	74.49 ^b ±0.217	79.79 ^a ±0.266	80.02 ^a ±0.263	79.74 ^a ±0.268	84.79 ^a ±0.378	85.96 ^a ±0.378	84.92 ^a ±0.374	74.79 ^a ±0.376	74.32 ^a ±0.376	74.35 ^a ±0.374
12 th week (FR)	85.08 ^a ±0.287	71.72 ^b ±0.287	80.04 ^a ±0.352	76.59 ^{ab} ±0.348	78.62 ^b ±0.355	85.42 ^a ±0.500	85.42 ^a ±0.495	84.42 ^b ±0.500	74.67 ^a ±0.495	68.12 ^{ab} ±0.489	72.57 ^b ±0.498
At slaughter	85.43 ^a ±0.266	71.36 ^b ±0.266	80.13 ^a ±0.335	76.59 ^{ab} ±0.329	78.51 ^b ±0.322	86.46 ^a ±0.463	85.42 ^a ^b ±0.485	84.42 ^{ab} ±0.463	73.79 ^a ±0.460	68.12 ^{ab} ±0.458	72.35 ^b ±0.460
<i>LDL cholesterol(mg/dl)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	32.58 ^a ±0.149	32.83 ^a ±0.149	31.81 ^{ab} ±0.182	33.41 ^a ±0.181	32.89 ^b ±0.184	31.33 ^a ±0.259	33.67 ^a ±0.257	32.75 ^a ±0.259	32.29 ^a ±0.258	33.16 ^a ±0.257	33.04 ^a ±0.258
12 th week (FR)	29.40 ^a ±0.150	29.98 ^a ±0.150	31.85 ^a ±0.184	28.19 ^{ab} ±0.182	29.02 ^b ±0.186	31.33 ^a ±0.261	28.42 ^{ab} ±0.260	28.46 ^b ±0.258	32.38 ^a ±0.260	28.00 ^{ab} ±0.260	29.61 ^b ±0.258
At slaughter	27.57 ^b ±0.212	28.43 ^a ±0.212	32.19 ^a ±0.260	25.48 ^{ab} ±0.257	26.33 ^b ±0.262	31.96 ^a ±0.369	26.04 ^b ±0.365	24.71 ^{ab} ±0.369	32.42 ^a ±0.367	24.96 ^{ab} ±0.365	28.00 ^b ±0.367
<i>HDL cholesterol(mg/dl)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	33.55 ^b ±0.151	34.87 ^a ^a ±0.151	33.58 ^{ab} ±0.185	34.76 ^a ^a ±0.183	34.29 ^b ±0.183	32.96 ^a ^a ±0.263	34.00 ^a ^a ±0.260	33.71 ^a ^a ±0.263	34.21 ^a ^a ±0.263	35.52 ^a ±0.261	34.87 ^a ±0.260
12 th week (FR)	35.83 ^b ±0.166	37.37 ^a ^a ±0.166	34.71 ^{ab} ±0.204	38.21 ^a ^a ±0.202	36.89 ^b ±0.206	34.17 ^{ab} ±0.289	36.79 ^a ^a ±0.286	36.54 ^b ±0.288	35.25 ^{ab} ±0.288	39.60 ^a ±0.286	37.22 ^b ±0.288
At slaughter	37.78 ^b ±0.222	39.75 ^a ±0.222	35.65 ^{ab} ±0.272	41.75 ^a ±0.270	38.89 ^b ±0.275	35.08 ^a ±0.387	40.71 ^a ±0.383	37.54 ^a ±0.387	36.21 ^a ±0.385	42.80 ^a ±0.385	40.26 ^a ±0.383

First wk (FR): First week form feed restriction; 2ndwk (FR): two week form feed restriction RB: Red Baladi Breed; NZW: New Zealand White Breed; FR0: control diet; FR1:60% of the diet; FR2:80% of the Diet;B *FR: Interaction between breed and feed;±: standard error of the mean; a-b Means within the same row with the different superscript letter are significantly different (p >.05).

Table 5. Effect of breed, feed restriction and their interaction on ALT,AST and ALP (U/L)enzymes

Traits	Breed		FR			Inter. Effects: B*FR					
	RB	NZW	FR0	FR1	FR2	RB*FR0	RB*FR1	RB*FR2	NZW*FR0	NZW*FR1	NZW*FR2
<i>ALT(U/L)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	46.13 ^a ±0.124	42.57 ^b ±0.124	44.06 ^a ±0.152	44.59 ^a ±0.150	44.39 ^a ^a ±0.153	45.67 ^a ±0.216	46.38 ^a ^a ±0.213	46.33 ^a ^a ±0.216	42.46 ^a ±0.213	42.80 ^a ^a ±0.213	42.43 ^a ±0.215
12 th week (FR)	44.44 ^a ^a ±0.220	39.60 ^b ±0.220	45.67 ^a ^a ±0.269	39.51 ^{ab} ±0.267	40.89 ^b ±0.272	46.46 ^a ±0.383	43.08 ^{ab} ±0.379	43.79 ^b ±0.383	44.87 ^a ±0.381	36.00 ^{ab} ±0.379	37.96 ^b ±0.381
At slaughter	47.36 ^a ±0.235	42.83 ^b ±0.235	47.35 ^a ±0.288	43.68 ^{ab} ±0.285	44.29 ^b ±0.291	47.96 ^a ±0.410	46.50 ^{ab} ±0.406	47.62 ^b ±0.410	46.75 ^a ±0.408	40.88 ^{ab} ±0.406	40.91 ^b ±0.408
<i>AST(U/L)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	37.06 ^b ±0.126	41.12 ^a ^a ±0.126	38.98 ^a ^a ±0.154	39.26 ^a ^a ±0.152	39.02 ^a ^a ±0.156	37.37 ^a ±0.219	36.46 ^{ab} ±0.217	37.33 ^b ±0.219	40.58 ^{ab} ±0.218	42.04 ^a ±0.217	40.70 ^b ±0.218
12 th week (FR)	35.08 ^b ±0.162	37.83 ^a ^a ±0.162	39.42 ^a ±0.198	33.63 ^{ab} ±0.196	36.33 ^b ±0.200	38.25 ^a ^a ±0.281	32.67 ^{ab} ±0.278	34.33 ^b ±0.278	40.58 ^a ±0.280	34.60 ^{ab} ±0.281	38.35 ^b ±0.278
At slaughter	37.78 ^b ±0.279	43.85 ^a ±0.279	40.63 ^b ±0.342	41.55 ^a ±0.339	40.28 ^{ab} ±0.346	39.83 ^a ± 0.486	35.79 ^{ab} ±0.481	37.71 ^b ±0.486	41.42 ^{ab} ±0.484	47.20 ^a ±0.481	42.83 ^b ±0.484
<i>ALP (U/L)</i>											
11 th week (FR)	74.92 ^b ±0.232	82.23 ^a ^a ±0.232	78.27 ^a ^a ±0.284	78.43 ^a ^a ±0.281	79.05 ^a ^a ±0.287	74.96 ^a ±0.404	74.58 ^a ^a ±0.400	75.21 ^a ^a ±0.404	81.58 ^a ±0.402	82.24 ^a ±0.400	82.87 ^a ^a ±0.402
12 th week (FR)	72.07 ^b ±0.245	79.75 ^a ^a ±0.245	78.69 ^a ±0.300	73.15 ^{ab} ±0.297	75.89 ^b ±0.303	74.96 ^a ^a ±0.426	69.63 ^a ^a ±0.421	71.63 ^a ^a ±0.426	82.42 ^a ±0.424	76.68 ^a ±0.421	80.17 ^a ^a ±0.424
At slaughter	76.58 ^b ±0.220	83.25 ^a ± 0.220	80.06 ^a ±0.269	79.57 ^a ±0.272	80.13 ^a ± 0.269	75.96 ^b ±0.383	76.92 ^a ±0.379	76.88 ^{ab} ±0.383	84.17 ^a ±0.381	82.12 ^{ab} ±0.379	83.52 ^b ±0.381

First wk (FR):First week form feed restriction; 2ndwk (FR):two week form feed restriction RB: Red Baladi Breed; NZW: New Zealand White Breed; FR0: control diet; FR1: 60% of the diet; FR2: 80% of the Diet; B *FR: Interaction between breed and feed; ±: standard error of the mean; a-b Means within the same row with the different superscript letter are significantly different (P>.05), ALT; Alanine aminotransferase, AST; Aspartate aminotransferase and ALP Alkaline phosphat

The effect of breed, feed restriction levels and interaction effects between breed and feeding on alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alkaline

phosphatase (ALP) (U/L) enzymes in first week, 2nd week and after feed restriction in table (5). The feed restriction had reduced significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) ALT and AST at slaughter time, which is inconsistent with Gallois *et al.* (2005); Fatma and Hayam, (2014); Beshara *et al.* (2017) and Darina *et al.*, (2018). In contrast, Amber *et al.* (2014) and Beshara *et al.* (2017) reported that the feed restriction did not significantly affect the ALT, AST and ALP.

CONCLUSIONS

Rabbits to feed restriction for two weeks started in 11th wk compared with rabbits fed *ad libitum* up to slaughter time hadn't negative effect on growth performance, mortality and serum biochemical parameters. So, it is interesting to note that feed restriction will reduce feed costs of rabbits.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Wareth, A.A.A., S. Kehraus, A.H.H. Ali, Z.S.H. Ismail and K.H. Sudekum, 2015. Effects of temporary intensive feed restriction on performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass criteria of growing male Californian rabbits. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.*, 69: 69-78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1745039X.2014.1002672>.
- Addass, P.A., D.I. David, A. Edward, K.E. Zira and A. Midak, 2012. Effect of age, sex and management system on some haematological parameters of intensively and semi-intensively kept chicken in Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science* 2: 277-282.
- Aduku, A.O. and O. Olukosi, 1990. Rabbit management in the tropics production, processing, utilization, marketing, economic practical training, research and future prospects. Living Book Series, G. U. Publications, Abuja.
- Amber, K.H., M. Fatma, Abd El-Nabil, W.A. Morsy, and H.A. Shama, 2014. Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic and prebiotic on preventing post weaning digestive disorders and productive of growing rabbits. *Egypt. Poult. Sci.*, 34(1): 19-38.
- Anderson, D.O., J.J. Hessen, Elser and J. Urabe, 2005. Metabolic stoichiometry and the fate of excess carbon and nutrients in consumers. *Am. Nat.*, 165: 1-15.
- Archetti, I., C. Titterelli, M. Cerioli, R. Brivio, G. Grilli, A. Lavazza, 2008. Serum chemistry and haematology values in commercial rabbits: Preliminary data from industrial farms in northern Italy. *Proceedings of 9th World Rabbit Congress, Verona, Italy*: 1147-1152.
- Archetti, I., C. Titterelli, M. Cerioli, R. Brivio, G. Grilli, A. Lavazza, 2008. Serum chemistry and haematology values in commercial rabbits: Preliminary data from industrial farms in northern Italy. *Proceedings of 9th World Rabbit Congress, Verona, Italy*: 1147-1152.
- Beshara, M.M., M.A. Ragab, A. El.M.I. El Desoky, H.N. Fahim, A.M. El-Fhhat, A.M. Alazab and A.A. El-gamal, 2017. Effect of feed restriction with supplementation of probiotic on productive and economical performance in local growing rabbits. *Egypt. Poult. Sci.* Vol (37)(II): (407-431) (2017).
- Birolo, M., A. Trocino, M. Tazzoli and G. Xiccato, 2017. Effect of feed restriction and feeding plans on performance, slaughter traits and body composition of growing rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.* 25: 113-122.
- Cabarau, J.F., M. Kerrou, C. Van Eenaeme, I. Dufresne, L. Istasse, J.L. Hornick, 2003. Different modes of food restriction and compensatory growth in double-musced Belgian Blue bulls: plasma metabolites and hormones. *Anim. Sci.*, 77: 205-214.
- Dalle Zotte, A., 2000. Main factors influencing the rabbit carcass and meat quality. *Proc. of the 7th World Rabbit Congress, Valencia, Spain*. pp: 1-32.
- Dalle Zotte, A., H. Remington, J. Ouhayoun, 2005. Effect of feed rationing during post-weaning growth on meat quality, muscle energy metabolism and fibre properties of Biceps femoris muscle in the rabbits. *Meat Science*, v. 70, p. 301-305, 2005.
- Darina, C., E. Tšumova and Z. Volek, 2018. The effect of limited feed intake on carcass yield and meat quality in early weaned rabbits. *ITALIAN JOURNAL OF ANIMAL SCIENCE* <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2018.1530961>.
- De Oliveira, M.C., R.P. Da Silva, L.S. Araujo, V.R. Da Silva, E.A. Bento and D.M. Da Silva, 2012. Effect of feed restriction on performance and feed digestibility in rabbits. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.*, 6: 765-767.
- Di Meo, C., F. Bovera and S. Marono, 2007. Effect of feed restriction on performance and feed digestibility in rabbits. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, v. 6, p. 765-767, 2007.
- Duncan, D. B., 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-test, *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42. 38.
- Ebeid, T., E. Tšumová and Z. Volek, 2012. Effects of a one week intensive feed restriction in the growing rabbit: Part 1 - Performance and blood biochemical parameters. *Proceedings 10th World Rabbit Congress – September 3 - 6, 2012 – Sharm El-Sheikh – Egypt*, pp 607 – 611.
- El-Speiy, M.E., K.I. Kamel, A. E. Tag El-Din, A. E. Abd El-Hamid and A.M.E. EL-Kamhawy, 2015. Effect of feed restriction

- on productive performance, carcass yield, blood pictures and relative organ weights of growing rabbits. *Egypt. Poul. Sci.* Vol (35) (ii): (439-454).
- Etim, N.A.N., M.E. Williams, U. Akpabio and E.A. Offiong, 2014. Haematological parameters and factors affecting their values. *Agricultural Science* 2: 37-47.
- Fatma, A.E. and M.A. Hayam, 2014. Phaseolus vulgaris Straw as a Substitute for Clover Hay in Rabbit Diets with Prebiotic Supplementation and Feed Restriction Interaction: Influence on Nutrient Utilization, Caecal Activity, Carcass Yield and Blood Plasma Constituents. *Global Veterinaria* 13 (6): 1010-1021, 2014.
- Foubert, C., J. Duperray, P. Boisot and A. Guyonvarch, 2008. Effect of feed restriction with or without free access to drinking water on performance of growing rabbits in healthy or epizootic rabbit enteropathy conditions. *Proceedings 9th World Rabbit Congress, Verona, Italy*, pp 667-671.
- Gallois, M., T. Gidenne, L. Fortun-Lamothe and L. Huerou-Luron, 2005. An early stimulation of solid feed intake slightly influences the morphological gut maturation in the rabbit. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 45, 109-122.
- Gidenne, T., A. Feugier, N. Jehl, P. Arveus, P. Boisot, C. Briens, E. Corrent, H. Fortune, S. Montessuy and S. Verdelhan, 2003. Unrationnement alimentaire quantitatif post-sevrage permet de réduire la fréquence des diarrhées, sans dégradation importante des performances de croissance: résultat d'une étude multi-site. In: *Proc. 10èmes Journ. Rech. Cunicole*, 2003 November, Paris, France, 29-32.
- Gidenne, T., S. Combes and L. Fortun-Lamothe, 2012. Feed intake limitation strategies for the growing rabbit: effect on feeding behaviour, welfare, performance, digestive physiology and health: a review. *Animal*, 6: 1407-1419. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731112000389>.
- Gidenne, T., S. Combes, A. Feugier, N. Jehl, P. Arveus, P. Boisot, C. Briens and E. Corrent, 2009a. Feed restriction strategy in the growing rabbit. 2. Impact on digestive health, growth and carcass characteristics. *Animal*. 3:509-515.
- Gidenne, T., S. Murr, A. Travel, E. Corrent, C. Foubert, K. Bebin, L. Mevel, G. Rebours and B. Renouf, 2009b. Effets du niveau de rationnement et du mode de distribution de l'aliment sur les performances et les troubles digestifs post-sevrage du lapereau. *Premiers résultats d'une étude concertée de reseau GEC. Cuniculture Magazine* 36, 65-72.
- Govaerts, T., G. Room and J. Buyse, 2000. Early and temporary quantitative food restriction of broiler chickens. 2. Effect on allometric growth and growth hormone secretion. *British Poultry Science*, v.41, p.355-362, 2000.
- Guyton, A.C., J.E. Hall, 2006. In: *Textbook of Medical Physiology*. 11th Edition. Philadelphia, Pa: Elsevier Saunders, 918-930.
- Hewitt, C.D., D.J. Innes, J. Savory and M.R. Wills, 1989. Normal biochemical and haematological values in New Zealand White Rabbits. *Clin Chem* 35: 1777-1779.
- Maertens, L., T. Gidenne, 2016. Feed efficiency in rabbit production: nutritional, technico-economical and environmental aspects. In: *Proc. 11th World Rabbit Congress - Abstracts*, 15-18 June, 2016, Qingdao, China, 131-151. Available at: http://pure.ilvo.vlaanderen.be/portal/files/4411117/Maertens_Gidenne_WRC_2016.pdf. Accessed: July 2016.
- Maertens, L., 2010. Feeding systems for intensive production. In: C. de Blas and J. Wiseman (Eds.) *Nutrition of the Rabbit*. 2nd Edition. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, 253-266. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845936693.02>.
- Martinec, M., H. Hřrtlová, D. Chodová, E. Tůmová, A. Fučíková, 2012. Selected haematological and biochemical indicators in different breed of rabbits. *Acta Vet Brno* 81: 371-375.
- Mohammadalipour, R., H.R. Rahmani, R. Jahanian, A. Riasi, M. Mohammadalipour and N. Nili, 2017. Effect of early feed restriction on physiological responses, performance and ascites incidence in broiler chickens raised in normal or cold environment. *Animal* 11, 219-226.
- Nilipous, A.H., 1998. Numbers for successful poultry production world poultry, 14:26-28.
- NRC, 1984. National Research Council. *Nutrient Requirements of Rabbits*. The 2nd Revised Edition. National Academy of Science. Washington. D.C. USA.
- Oliveira M.C., R.P. Silva, L.S. Araújo, V.R. Silva, E.A. Bento and D.M. Silva 2012. Effect of feed restriction on performance of growing rabbits. *R. Bras. Zootec.*, 41:1463-1467.
- Özkan, C., A. Kaya and Y. Akgül, 2012. Normal values of haematological and some biochemical parameters in serum and urine of New Zealand White rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci* 20: 253-259.
- Rajman, M., M. Juráni, D. Lamošová, M. Máčajová, M. Sedláčková, E. Košťál, D. Ježová and P. Výboh, 2006. The effects of feed restriction on plasma biochemistry in growing meat type chickens (*Gallus gallus*). *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 145: 363-371.

- Renaville, R., C. Van Eenaeme, B.H.Breier, L.Vleurick, C.Bertozzi, N.Gengler, H.L.Hornick, I.Parmentier, L.Istasse, V.Haezebroeck, S. Massart and D. Portetelle, 2000.Feed restriction in young bulls alters the onset of puberty in relationship with plasma insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF-binding proteins. *Domest. Anim. Endocrin.*, 18: 165-176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0739-7240\(99\)00076-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0739-7240(99)00076-4).
- Romero, C., S. Cuesta, J.R. Astillero, N. Nicodemus and C. De Blas, 2010.Effect of early feed restriction on performance and health status in growing rabbits slaughtered at 2 kg live-weight. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 18: 211-218. <https://doi.org/10.4995/wrs.2010.778>.
- SPSS., 2011. SPSS User's Guide Statistics. Ver. 20. Copyright SPSS Inc., USA.
- Tůmova, E., M.Skoivan, V.Skoivanova, *et al.*, 2002. Effect of early feed restriction on growth in broiler chickens, turkeys and rabbits. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, v.47, p.418-428, 2002.
- Tůmova, E., S.k. Rivanova and M.S.k. Rivan, 2003. Effect of restricted feeding time and quantitative restriction in growing rabbits. *Arch Geflügelkunde*.67:182- 190.
- Tůmová_a, E., D.Chodová and Z.Volek, 2018.The effect of limited feed intake o carcass yield and meat quality in early weaned rabbits. *ITALIAN JOURNAL OF ANIMAL SCIENCE*. 26 July 2018.
- Van Harten, S. and LA.Cardoso, 2010 .Feed restriction and genetic selection on the expression and activity of metabolism regulatory enzymes in rabbits. *Animal* 4: 1873-1883.
- Yakubu, A., A.E.Salako, A.O. Ladokun, M.M.Adua, T.U.K. Bature, 2007.Effects of feed restriction on performance, carcass yield, relative organ weights and some linear body measurements of weaner rabbits. *Pakistan J. Nutrition*.6: 391- 396.
- Young, D. S. and R. B. Friedman, 2001.Effects of disease on clinical laboratory tests (Vol. 1).Amer Assn for Clinical Chemistry.

تأثير السلالة وتحديد كمية العلف على الإستجابة الكيموحيوية في سيرم الأرانب

صبحى محمد سلام^١، سليمان أحمد^٢، أمنية عيسى^٢، طارق ربيع^٢

١ - قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى والسّمكى، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الإسكندرية، الاسكندرية، مصر، ٢ - قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى، كلية الزراعة، جامعة قناة السويس، الاسماعيلية، مصر

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقييم تأثير كلاً من سلالة الأرانب (سلالة البلدى الأحمر وسلالة النيوزلاندى الأبيض) وكمية العلف وذلك عند تحويل نظام التغذية من نظام يتغذى به الحيوانات بشكل حر إلى نظام يحدد فيه كمية العلف المقدمة للحيوان وذلك لمدة أسبوعين ابتداءً من الأسبوع الحادى عشر والأثنى عشر وحتى عمر التسويق فى الأسبوع الخامس عشر من العمر وتأثير ذلك على معدل النمو ونسبه النفوق وبعض صفات الدم الكيموحيوية. قسمت الأرانب إلى ثلاث مجموعات تجريبية: المجموعة الأولى هي المجموعة الكنترول , المجموعة الثانية والثالثة تم تحديد كمية العلف فى الأسبوع الحادى عشر والثاني عشر فقط بنسبة ٦٠٪ و ٨٠٪ من كمية العلف المغذى عليها المجموعة الكنترول علي الترتيب ولقد تم قياس الصفات الخاصة بالنمو والمؤشرات الكيموحيوية فى الدم أسبوعياً. أوضحت النتائج أن تحديد كمية العلف أثرت بشكل سلبي على معدل زيادة الوزن الأسبوعية فى المجاميع التى تم فيها تحديد العلف مقارنة بمجموعة الكنترول وذلك فى أثناء فترة تحديد كمية العلف. بينما تأثر كلاً من معدل الزيادة الأسبوعية وكمية العلف المستهلك وكفاءة التحويل الغذائى فى نهاية فترة التجربة. كانت نسبة النفوق فى جميع مجاميع التجربة تتساوى صفر وعلى الرغم من أن تحديد كمية العلف أدى إلى انخفاض فى كلاً من البروتين الكلى ، الدهون الثلاثية والكوليسترول منخفض الكثافة وإنزيمات الكبد إلا أنه لم يؤثر على كلاً من الكوليسترول الكلى وانزيم الألكانيل فوسفاتيز وذلك بعد أسبوعين من تحديد كمية العلف. نستنتج من نتائج هذه الدراسة أن تحديد كمية العلف إبتداءً من الأسبوع الحادى عشر ولمدة أسبوعين أدت إلى تحسين أداء الأرانب وصفات الدم الكيموحيوية.